

| | | | |
|---|--|---------------------------------------|--|
| Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary | Application No. 10/522,074 | Applicant(s) SLEEP, DARRELL | |
| | Examiner SATYANARAYANA R. GUDIBANDE | Art Unit 1654 | |

All Participants:

(1) SATYANARAYANA R. GUDIBANDE.

(2) Mr. Mark Segal.

Date of Interview: 28 October 2008

Type of Interview:

☒ Telephonic

☐ Video Conference

☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☐ No

If Yes, provide a brief description: .

Status of Application: _____

(3) _____.

(4) _____.

Time: _____

Part I.

Rejection(s) discussed:

Claims discussed:

1 and 11

Prior art documents discussed:

Part II.

SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:

See Continuation Sheet

Part III.

☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.

☒ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was discussed: Applicant's representative Mr. Segal was contacted with a proposed examiner's amendment to incorporate the limitations of claim 11 into claim 1 to place the application in condition for allowance. Mr. Segal was again contacted on 10/30/08 to learn that the proposed amendment was not acceptable to the applicants. .